

### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,677	0	1/06/2000	BER-FONG HWANG	890-004.002	1988
4955	7590	01/29/2002			
		VAN DER SLUY	EXAMINER		
ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468				DEXTER, CLARK F	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				3724	
				DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/478,677 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit 3724

Hwang

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
P <sub>_</sub> riod for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the	ation.
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 15, 2	001
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is recorded to the merits in the merits is recorded to the merits of the merits in the merits is recorded to the merits of the merits in the merits is recorded to the merits of the merits in the merits of the merits in the merits of the merit
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 18-22	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7)  Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢 Claims <u>18-22</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
Application Papers	
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved bill disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign properties as a claim for foreign properties.  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
<ul> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority deposition from the International Bure.</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the</li> </ul>	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/478,677

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on October 15, 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/478,677 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

### Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 18 and 19, drawn to foam cutting machine with a work surface moving means, classified in class 83, subclass 401.
  - II. Claims 18 and 20, drawn to a foam cutting machine with a means for limiting blade deflection, classified in class 83, subclass 820.
  - III. Claims 18, 21 and 22, drawn to a foam cutting machine with a specific blade positioning configuration, classified in class 83, subclass 788.
- Claims 18-22 have been restricted such that the patentability of the invention is presumed to lie in the details of the particular group (e.g. the work surface moving means of Group I). It is noted that if claim 18 as originally filed is part of an elected group and determined to be patentable, rejoinder of claims 18-22 will be considered. It is further noted that claim 18 is listed as part of groups I-III but is not considered to be part any of these groups. Rather, claim 18

Application/Control Number: 09/478,677

Art Unit: 3724

recites subject matter that is common to all of the groups and has been shown as part of each group for clarity (i.e., so that it is clear which claims are part of which group). Further, because claim 18 includes subject matter that is common to all of the groups, it is not considered to be independent or distinct from any of the groups. Therefore, claim 18 will be examined upon election of one of the groups.

- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- Inventions of groups I and II are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group I does not require the means for limiting blade deflection of group II for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group I, and the invention of group II does not require the work surface moving means of group I for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group II.
- 6. Inventions of groups I and III are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group I does not require the specific details of the blade positioning configuration of group III for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group I, and the invention of group III does not require the work surface moving means of group I for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group III.
- 7. Inventions of groups II and III are separate inventions. They are distinct because the invention of group II does not require the specific details of the blade positioning configuration of group III for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group II, and the invention

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/478,677

Art Unit: 3724

of group III does not require the means for limiting blade deflection of group II for patentability as evidenced by the omission thereof from group III.

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd

January 28, 2002

# Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier)

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes." on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

### INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

# 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

### Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached: Office communication See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application